UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,810	04/20/2006	Peter Gibson	22409-00323-US	7516
30678 7590 06/24/2010 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			EXAMINER	
1875 EYE STR	EET, N.W.	HOLMES, REX R		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/518,810	GIBSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		REX HOLMES	3762			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Se	entember 2009				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 September 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	<i>,</i> —					
J)الــا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,9-11,20,22,23 and 39-47 is/are	e pending in the application.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20,22,23 and 39-45</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,9-11,46 and 47</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
ا ال	are subject to restriction and/or	Clothor requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
-	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of John 170-132.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/518,810 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 20, 22-23 and 39-45 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

The newly submitted method claims can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one that does not require two different configurations before and after the removal of the stiffening element.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 20, 22-23 and 39-45 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 10/518,810

Art Unit: 3762

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 9-11 and 46-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milojevic et al. (U.S. Pub. 2004/0147825 hereinafter "Milojevic") in view of Salerno (U.S. Pat. 5,394,865).
- 5. Milojevic discloses an implantable stimulating device that includes an elongated carrier having a plurality of electrodes (e.g. ¶ 70) and a first lumen (e.g. ¶ 134) and a body made of flexible, resilient, translucent silicone rubber (e.g. ¶¶ 63-66). Milojevic further discloses that the lumen can be used to deliver substances or be used to hold a stylet for implantation of the device (e.g. ¶ 134). Milojevic discloses that the device is straightened when the stylet is inserted and curved when the stylet is withdrawn from the device (e.g. Figs. 21-23). Milojevic further discloses an implantable stimulator/receiver connected to the carrier member for sensing and stimulating (e.g. ¶¶ 11, 14, 136, 145, 147, 202, 221). Milojevic discloses the claimed invention except for the stylet having one or more optic fibres. However, Salermo discloses a fiber view lighted stylet that includes multiple fibre optic cables capable of transmitting light and visual information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the stylet as taught by Milojevic, with lighted stylet as taught by Salermo, since such a modification would provide the predictable results of a stylet with optic fibers for providing the predictable results of lighting the

Art Unit: 3762

implantation area and allowing the physician to view the implantation area for proper placement of the device.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 9/24/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that the optic fibers of Salermo are flexible and can not be considered a stiffening element. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The claim and specification fail to define the degree of stiffening. In this case the optic fibers of Salermo being inserted inside the lumen of the carrier member will provide stiffening of the carrier member in a greater amount that nothing being inserted in the lumen. Therefore, since Salermo provides stiffening to the carrier member it teaches the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to REX HOLMES whose telephone number is (571)272-8827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Layno can be reached on (571) 272-4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/George R Evanisko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762 /R. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3762